

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 ERIC WARD,  
12 Petitioner

13 v.

14 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
15 CALIFORNIA, et al.,  
16 Respondents.

Case No. 2:15-cv-09588-RGK (GJS)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE**

17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition and all  
18 pleadings, motions, and other documents filed in this action, the Report and  
19 Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (“Report”), and Petitioner’s  
20 Objections to the Report. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P.  
21 72(b), the Court has conducted a de novo review of those portions of the Report to  
22 which objections have been stated.

23 Nothing in the Objections affects or alters the analysis and conclusions set forth  
24 in the Report. The Court accepts the findings and recommendations set forth in the  
25 Report. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that:

26 (1) the Petition is DENIED; and

27 ///

28 ///

(2) Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATE: September 19, 2016



---

R. GARY KLAUSNER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE